

Electronic-Evidence Certificate

Certificate No. 0002

Section 65B, IEA 1872

Generated 2026-06-27 10:17:57 UTC (2026-06-27 15:47:57 IST)

CERTIFICATE UNDER SECTION 65B(4) OF THE INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872

This certificate is furnished under section 65B(4) of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, in respect of the computer output / electronic record/s identified in the annexure to this certificate, and is intended to satisfy the conditions of section 65B(2).

CASE AND COMPUTER IDENTIFICATION

Case: Legacy Matter 2021 (ID: CASE-EE65B)
Case number: CC/2026/0042
FIR number: FIR-2026-77
CNR number: MHPN01-001234-2026
Court: Court of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune
Investigation agency: Pune City Cyber Police
Analyst: Pallavi Pawar
Organisation: Innovativa SoftTech
Computer: LAPTOP-PL914FQ9
Operating system: Windows 11 10.0
Software: e-Dex v1.4.0
Algorithms used: SHA-256
Period covered: 2026-06-01 IST to 2026-06-18 IST
Chain of custody: Recorded in the application's tamper-evident, hash-chained audit log (append-only; altering any one entry breaks every following record).

SOURCE DEVICE (EVIDENCE)

Device name: Seized laptop HDD
Device type: Hard Disk Drive
Make: Western Digital
Model: WD10EZEX
Serial number: WD-WX2111234567
Operating system: Windows 11 10.0
Ownership: Acquired

ACQUISITION (COLLECTION)

Method: Forensic disk image (E01), hardware write-blocked
Date: 2026-06-03
Time: 14:30 IST
Location: Innovativa Forensic Lab, Pune
Performed by: Pallavi Pawar
Witness: Insp. S. Rao
Lab reference: LAB-2026-0098

STATUTORY CONDITIONS

The computer output containing the information was produced by the computer during the period over which the computer was used regularly to store or process information for the purposes of activities regularly carried on over that period; information of the kind contained in the electronic record was regularly fed into the computer in the ordinary course of those activities; throughout the material part of that period the computer was operating properly, or if not, any respect in which it was not operating properly or was out of operation during that part of that period was not such as to affect the electronic record or the accuracy of its contents; and the information contained in the electronic record reproduces or is derived from such

Electronic-Evidence Certificate

Certificate No. 0002

Section 65B, IEA 1872

Generated 2026-06-27 10:17:57 UTC (2026-06-27 15:47:57 IST)

information fed into the computer in the ordinary course of those activities.

The HASH value/s of the electronic/digital record/s is/are as set out in the annexure to this certificate, obtained through the algorithm/s indicated therein.

Hash report enclosed with this certificate (see annexure).

COLLECTION SUMMARY

Total evidence files: 3
Total size: 156.0 MB
Matches (verified): 3
Mismatches (tampered): 0
Errors: 0
Not verified / other: 0

ANNEXURE - EVIDENCE RECORDS AND HASH VALUES (hashes per algorithm, as labelled)

#	FILE	SIZE	STATUS
1.	evidence-1.dd SHA-256: 874e9c3ea2b3c4dda2b3c4e5a2b3c4eda2b3c4f5a2b3c4fda2b3c505a2b3c50d Integrity: MATCH - computed hash equals the recorded expected hash.	51.0 MB	Verified
2.	evidence-2.dd SHA-256: 913213d9a3b4c5dea3b4c5e6a3b4c5eea3b4c5f6a3b4c5fea3b4c60ca3b4c6e Integrity: MATCH - computed hash equals the recorded expected hash.	52.0 MB	Verified
3.	evidence-3.dd SHA-256: 9b158b74a4b5c6dfa4b5c6e7a4b5c6efa4b5c6f7a4b5c6f0a4b5c707a4b5c70f Integrity: MATCH - computed hash equals the recorded expected hash.	53.0 MB	Verified

ACCOMPANYING REPORT

No accompanying report - certificate stands on its own evidence list.

STANDARDS & AUTHORITIES

Prepared consistent with recognised digital forensic process standards: NIST SP 800-86 (Guide to Integrating Forensic Techniques into Incident Response), ISO/IEC 27037 (identification, collection and acquisition of digital evidence), and ACPO Principle 3 (an audit trail of all processes applied to electronic evidence). Indian authorities on electronic-evidence certification: Anwar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer (2014) - the certificate is a condition precedent for secondary electronic evidence; Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal (2020) - the certificate is mandatory. This certificate is issued in a court-ready format; admissibility remains the decision of the court.

CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, occupying a responsible official position in relation to the operation of the relevant device / the management of the relevant activities, do hereby certify in respect of the electronic record/s identified in the annexure to this certificate.

Signature: _____
Name (printed): _____
Designation: Senior Forensic Analyst
Place: Pune
Date: _____

e-Dex generates this certificate as a workflow aid. The deponent is solely responsible for verifying the correctness of every fact, the applicability of the selected statute, and the legal sufficiency of the certificate for the matter at hand. The vendor makes no warranty of admissibility. The deponent's signature is what makes this a legal instrument.

Electronic-Evidence Certificate

Certificate No. 0002

Section 65B, IEA 1872

Generated 2026-06-27 10:17:57 UTC (2026-06-27 15:47:57 IST)

All times are stamped in UTC and shown in IST (Asia/Kolkata, UTC+5:30). Times displayed by third-party archive or extraction tools may differ due to local timezone conversion.

SAMPLE - NOT REAL EVIDENCE